

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/645,471	08/24/2000	Masaya Yukinobu	000996	4323
23850	7590 08/13/2002			
ARMSTRONG,WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP			EXAMINER	
1725 K STRE SUITE 1000	•	PAULRAJ, CHRISTOPHER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1773 DATE MAILED: 08/13/2002	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			AS
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-11-2
Office Action Occurrence	09/645,471	YUKINOBU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher G. Paulraj	1773	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the c ver sheet with the c	rrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
	This action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice und	owance except for formal matters, pr		8
Disposition of Claims			
4)囚 Claim(s) <i>} 担</i> is/are pending in the applicat			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.	÷	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) dbjected to by the Exai	miner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	ved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		•	
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Application	on No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the praction application from the International I * See the attached detailed Office action for a Ii 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·	
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	•		n).
a) The translation of the foreign language p	provisional application has been rec	eived.	,-
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 3	3

Application/Control Number: 09/645,471

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-6 and 21, drawn to a layered structure and display device, classified in class 428, subclass 323.
- II. Claims 7-12, drawn to a method of producing a transparent conductive layered structure, classified in class 427, subclass 331.
- III. Claims 13-20 and 22-24, drawn to a coating liquid, classified in class 524, subclass 420.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the layered structure can be made by lamination and bonding techniques.

Inventions I and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful in forming self-sustained molded articles and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing

Application/Control Number: 09/645,471

Art Unit: 1773

on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the liquid can be used in making self-sustained molded articles.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Donald Hanson on May 28, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/645,471

Art Unit: 1773

Page 4

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Christopher G. Paulraj whose telephone number is (703)

308-1036. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Blaine Copenheaver can be reached on (703) 308-1261. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-

872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-

0661.

cgp

August 12, 2002

Paul Thibodeau Supervisory Patent Examiner

e Thinker

Technology Center 1700